

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/601,377 06/23/2003 Phillip D. Mooneyham 7987 7590 09/02/2004 EXAMINER Rick B. Yeager PRINCE, FRED G 10805 Mellow Lane Austin, TX 78759 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1724

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	0
Office Action Summary		10/601,377	MOONEYHAM, PHILLIP D.	7
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Fred Prince	1724	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat	ion appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutour to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status				
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is FINAL 2b). Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice of	☑ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal mat		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 24-41 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) 24,35,40 and 41 is/are allowed Claim(s) 25-34 and 36-39 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration. d.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)□ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the ExThe drawing(s) filed on <u>23 June 2003</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ object to the drawing(s) be held in abeyate correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				1
Attachman's	(n)			
2) Notice 3) Inforn Paper	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO) No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to because the Figures have not been numbered consecutively. Numbers 2-5 have been omitted. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement

Art Unit: 1724

sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Note: Applicant is reminded that the specification should also be revised to include the new numbers in the brief description of the drawings, as well as in the detailed description of the invention.

It is noted that applicant has submitted informal drawings consisting of Figures 12 and 13, as the Figures do not have uniformly thick lines. The drawings are sufficient for examination purposes but formal drawings will be required if the application is allowed.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 26, 31, and 36 are objected to because of the following informalities: "filtering" should be changed to --filter-- to use terminology consistent with that of the independent claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1724

5. Claims 31-34 and 36-39 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 6. Claims 31 and 36 recite the limitation "The filtering system" in line 1.

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.
- 7. Claims 32-34 and 37-39 recite the limitation "The system" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Double Patenting

8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

9. Claims 25-30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 and 21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,582,613. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the subject matter of the

Art Unit: 1724

patent may be construed broadly enough to encompass the subject matter of the instant application claims.

Per claims 27-28 and 30, it is submitted that it would have been obvious for the skilled artisan to have provided a threaded housing to have access to the filter and a nipple to connect a hose, as is conventional in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 24, 35, 40, and 41 are allowed.
- 11. Claims 31-34, and 36-39 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Per claim 24, while it is known in the art to provide a filter media, a filter housing having first, second, third, and fourth ports, wherein a first flow path is located between the first and second ports and has an inlet to the filter housing so that flow may pass through the first flow path, and the housing further comprises a second flow path located between the third and fourth ports such that flow may pass through the media through an filter outlet opening and into the second flow path (see US Pat No 2,775,550 to Harlow), in the examiner's opinion, the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest the first flow path being countercurrent to the second flow path.

Art Unit: 1724

While it is known in the art to provide a system and method of filtering engine coolant including a water pump, a heater core, a filter housing including filter media, the system having first and second ports and a flow path through which flow may pass through media to intercept particulate and out of the housing (see US Pat No. 6,193,895 to Dea et al.), in the examiner's opinion, the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest a third port and fourth port, wherein a second flow path has flow which ultimately passes between the third and fourth ports to return the flow. The above configuration provides continuous removal of particulate in the coolant and, since the entire stream of coolant need not pass through the filter, facilitates continuous flow of coolant to the heater core in the event that the media become blocked with particulate.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References are cited of interest to show the state of art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Prince whose telephone number is (571) 272-1165. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-4:00; alt. Fridays 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fred Prince
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

fgp 8/6/04